

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/825,505	Applicant(s) TAFT ET AL.	
	Examiner Darren W. Ark	Art Unit 3643	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Response to Non-Final

 (1) Darren W. Ark.

(3) _____.

 (2) Peter D. Sachtjen.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 May 2005
Time: 2:30pm EST
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

2, 3, 5, 6, 9, 10, 13, and 15

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior art of record


Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

DARREN W. ARK
PRIMARY EXAMINER


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated to applicant that most of claims require minor changes to correct claim objections and 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph problems. Examiner also stated that claim 15 does not particularly define over the Rawls '609, Austin '657, or Cunningham '527 since each discloses a tubular member which is capable of being slidably disposed in the opening of the enclosure since Rawls, Austin, and Cunningham can each have their tubular members disengaged from the threaded collar such that they can slide within the opening when not engaged with the threads. Examiner suggested amending claim 15 to recite further recite the lower position as having the lower end of the tubular member also being above the lower rim similarly to the raised position, since Examiner believes that the patentable feature of the desired invention is that the lower end of the tubular member which is above the lower rim in both the raised and lower positions. Applicant agreed to the proposed changes. Please see the Examiner's Amendment for details.